

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/396,088 02/28/95 KOIZUMI 216110/90058 EXAMINER GUARRIELLO, J 15M1/0525 CUSHMAN DARBY AND CUSHMAN PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW 1511 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918 DATE MAILED: 05/25/95 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. month(s), _ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Divotice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. SUMMARY OF ACTION Part II 1. Claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims have been cancelled. 3. Clai 5. Claims 6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. D Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. In not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. \Box The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on $_$ ___ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on _ ___, has been
approved.
disapproved (see explanation). 1 12. Macknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. 07/8870/3 _; filed on May 22, 1992 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial Number: 08/396,088 -2-

Art Unit: 1511

15.

Examiner acknowledges papers #21-23 of February 28, 1995 respectively.

16.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 22-24 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Takimoto et al. 4,761,333 in view of JP 60-92345.

-3-

Serial Number: 08/396,088

Art Unit: 1511

Takimoto suggests steering wheel materials which encompasses steering wheel pad. Polyvinyl chloride resin, phthalic acid ester and acrylonitrile butadiene rubber are suggested. (Column 1, lines 9-55; and column 2, lines 29-68).

JP '345 suggests a composition with vinyl chloride, a plasticizer of ethyl hexyl phthalate, filler and thermoplastic polyurethane, not polyethylene as applicant states.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the <u>polyurethane</u> of JP '345 in Takimoto and produce the claimed steering wheel pad because each reference suggests polyvinyl chloride with phthalic esters and the thermoplastic polyurethane would function to give a resilient composition. It would be within the skill of the artisan to optimize the amount of components to produce the composition. Obviousness does not require absolute predictability.

Serial Number: 08/396.088

Art Unit: 1511

17.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

-4-

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 13, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over JP 60-92345 in view of JP 52-108454.

JP '345 as above in paragraph #16.

JP '454 suggests a composition with polyvinyl chloride and thermoplastic polyurethane resin. Translation requested.

Serial Number: 08/396,088 -5-

Art Unit: 1511

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the thermoplastic polyurethane resin of JP '454 in JP '345 and produce the claimed steering wheel pad because each reference suggests the components of the composition and the thermoplastic polyurethane of JP '454 would be expected to function equivalently. The skilled artisan could optimize the amount of components to produce the claimed steering wheel pad with its properties for low temperature flexability, heat resistance and thermal aging resistance.

18.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Guarriello or Paul Michl, SPE whose telephone number is (703) 308-3209 or (703) 308-2451.

John Guarriello:cb Patent Examiner

May 19, 1995 May 23, 1995 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMNINER

- ART UNIT 156